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#### Abstract

$\left(\mathrm{MeOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Ln}(\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{La}, \mathrm{Pr})$ complexes have been synthesized by reaction of $\mathrm{LnCl}_{3}$ with $\mathrm{MeOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Na}$ in THF at room temperature. A single-crystal X-ray diffraction study shows that the complex $\left(\mathrm{MeOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Pr}$ is monomeric in structure, and that the coordination number of the central Pr atom is 11 . This is the first example of unsolvated monomeric La and Pr metallocene complexes with the largest possible coordination number of the metal.


The solid-state structures of tris-(cyclopentadienyl) compounds of the light lanthanides ( $\mathrm{La}, \mathrm{Pr}, \mathrm{Nd}$ ), for example $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{La}$ [1], $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \operatorname{Pr}$ [2] and $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right){ }_{3} \mathrm{Nd}$ [3], are polymers with two terminal $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ groups $\eta^{5}$-bonded to the metal and a third $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ group bridging two metal centers in a $\eta^{5}$ and $\eta^{2}$ fashion, forming a zigzag polymer. Generally, the molecular structures of the trivalent lanthanide metallocenes are dependent on the lanthanide metals as well as on the size of the substituents on the cyclopentadienyl rings. $\left(\mathrm{MeC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{La}$ [4] and $\left(\mathrm{MeC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Nd}$ [5] are tetrameric while $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{La}$ and $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Nd}$ are polymers. $\left(\mathrm{MeC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Ce}$ is tetrameric while [ $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right]_{3} \mathrm{Ce}$ and $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Ce}$ are monomers [6]. Although solvaied monomeric ianthanum and praseodymium metallocenes with
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Fig. 1. Molecular structure and atom numbering scheme for complex 2. Selected bond distances ( $\AA$ ) and angles (deg) are as follows: $\mathrm{Pr}-\mathrm{O}$ (1) 2.740(3), $\mathrm{Pr}-\mathrm{O}(2) 2.836(3), \mathrm{Pr}-\mathrm{Cp}(1) 2.542(\mathrm{Cp}(1)=$ centroid of $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 5$ ring $), \mathrm{Pr}-\mathrm{Cp}(2) 2.548\left(\mathrm{Cp}(2)=\right.$ centroid of $\mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{C} 13$ ring $), \mathrm{Pr}-\mathrm{Cp}(3) 2.569(\mathrm{Cp}(3)=$ centroid of $\mathrm{C} 17-\mathrm{C} 21$ ring $), \mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Pr}-\mathrm{O}(2) 174.8(1), \mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Pr}-\mathrm{Cp}(1) 86.4(2) ; \mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Pr}-\mathrm{Cp}(2) 84.6(5), \mathrm{Cp}(1)-\mathrm{Pr}-\mathrm{Cp}(2)$ $119.00(1), \mathrm{Cp}(2)-\mathrm{Pr}-\mathrm{Cp}(3) 121.67(1), \mathrm{Cp}(1)-\mathrm{Pr}-\mathrm{Cp}(3) 119.28$ (2).
two solvent molecules have been reported [7,8], we selected $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ as the ligand [9], because this permits formation of an intramolecular coordination bond between oxygen and the metal atom took place, resulting in an increase in the coordination number of the central metal atom. This satisfies the need of light lanthanide metals for coordination saturation. As a result, we have succeeded in synthesizing unsolvated monomeric light-lanthanide metallocencs.

We now describe the synthesis of the complexes $\left(\mathrm{MeOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Ln}$ where $\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{La}, \mathrm{Pr}$ and the X-ray crystallographic investigation of $\left(\mathrm{MeOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Pr}$. $\left(\mathrm{MeOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{La}$ (1) was obtained by treating a solution of $\mathrm{NaC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OMe}$ in THF with anhydrous $\mathrm{LaCl}_{3}$ at room temperature, filtering and removing THF under vacuum. Moisture-sensitive white crystals of 1 were obtained by recrystallization from hexane at room temperature. Pale yellow crystals of $\left(\mathrm{MeOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \operatorname{Pr}$ (2) were obtained in a similar manner. The reaction is represented by the following equation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{LnCl}_{3}+3 \mathrm{MeOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Na} \underset{\mathrm{RT}}{\mathrm{THF}}\left(\mathrm{MeOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Ln}+3 \mathrm{NaCl} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(1) $\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{La}, 65 \%$ yield; (2) $\mathrm{Ln}=\operatorname{Pr}, 75 \%$ yield.

[^1]The mass spectra [ $10^{*}$ ] of 1 and 2 showed evidence of the monomeric parent molecular ion and no larger fragments. In ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis, all proton resonances of 1 were shifted to lower field compared with $\mathrm{MeOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Na}$. The protons of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ - from three ligands gave two peaks with an integral ratio $2: 1$ in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR at $-112^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ [10*]. This is in agreement with the result of the X-ray structure analysis of 2.

The X-ray structure analysis [11*] showed that complex 2 is a monomer, in which the Pr atom is surrounded by three $\eta^{5}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ groups. The molecular structure of 2 is shown in Fig. 1. It is noteworthy that only two oxygen atoms of the three ether substituents linked to the cyclopentadienyl rings coordinate to the central metal, giving a coordination number of 11 for Pr. The bond parameters indicate that the structure has a trigonal bipyramid geometry if the $\eta^{5}$-cyclopentadienyl is regarded as occupying a single polyhedral vertex. The $\operatorname{Pr}-\mathrm{C}$ $\left(\eta^{5}\right)$ bond lengths range from 2.776 to $2.894 \AA$, and the average $\operatorname{Pr}-C\left(\eta^{5}\right)$ distance is $2.82 \AA$, similar to the values reported in $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \operatorname{Pr}\right]_{\infty}(2.81 \AA)$ and in $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \operatorname{Pr}\left(\mathrm{NCCH}_{3}\right)_{2}(2.82 \AA)$. The average $\operatorname{Pr}-\mathrm{O}$ bond length is $2.79 \AA$, which is $0.23 \AA$ longer than the $\mathrm{Pr}-\mathrm{O}$ bond length of $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{thf})$ [12]. The bond angle of $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Pr}-\mathrm{O}(2)$ is $174.8^{\circ}$ in 2 , which is comparable with the bond angle of $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Pr}-\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$ in $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \operatorname{Pr}\left(\mathrm{NCCH}_{3}\right)_{2}$.
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